Points for the working session on use maps

ENES8
21 May 2015

ECHA

Use Maps – Practical implementation

- **What could be a realistic timing for development and use?**

- **Is the template perceived as complex?**

- **Is the information available at DU association level?**

- **Alignment with other initiatives under development:**
  - Timing, templates available: R12 update, SWED template, SPERCs, SCEDs,…
  - Can we link available SPERCs and SCEDs to corresponding CAs?
  - Are there gaps identified?

- **What can we learn from previous experiences?**

Use Maps – Topics for verification

Selection of relevant uses/contributing activities by registrant:

- What kind of additional information would support the selection?
  - Subsequent service life
  - Generic composition
  - …

- What if several use maps address similar uses?

- How easy is to develop informative names that can be standardised. Are the SpERC, SCED titles good contributing activity names?

Use Maps – Topics for verification

Improvement of the assessment:

Can we verify for a few uses how the 'full cycle' would work:
- Use description in use maps
- Assessment based on exp.ass. Inputs
- Generated CSR and ES for communication

What if conflicting inputs for the same assessment from workers/consumers and environmental assessment? E.g. different concentration information.

Can Chesar help in this verification? *(see next slides)*

Chesar and use maps: information flow

ECHA and MS

Registrant's IUCLID database

ECHA's IUCLID database

Public

Substance properties

DU Sector organisations

CSR

Use maps SpERCs (envi) SCEDs (cons) SWEDs (work)

CSA

chesar

Exposure estimation tools

ESComXML

ES for eSDS

DUs

Can Chesar help in trying out use maps?

<u>Pros:</u>

- Integrated system: covers both generation of CSR and ES for communication

- Easy exchange of information in supply chain:
  - Supply of use maps from DU to registrants
  - Generation of ES by registrants to DU

<u>Cons:</u>

- Chesar 2.3 not fully aligned with recent use maps development although work around exist e.g. free text field in Chesar instead of structured field in use map, SWED content (TRA and beyond) instead of SWED reference, etc.

Use Maps – Topics for verification

Better ES for communication:

- **Integration of ESCom phrases** in use maps

- **Structure short titles for communication:** The building elements of the Short Titles for communication are available in the use maps. Should the structured short titles (SST) also be in?

Thank you

csr-es-roadmap@echa.europa.eu